



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,503	06/28/2000	John T. Chapman	CISCP145/1945	6276
22434	7590	09/19/2005	EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			HARPER, KEVIN C	
P.O. BOX 70250			ART UNIT	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			PAPER NUMBER	
			2666	
DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/606,503	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHAPMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kevin C. Harper	<b>Art Unit</b> 2666	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Kevin C. Harper. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Dean Wolf (Reg. No. 37,260). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 16 September 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1,75-78,82 and 83.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jaszewski et al. (US 5,933,420), Chiu et al. (US 5,784,597), Enns et al. (US 2005/0018697).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative proposed amendments to the claims. Examiner noted that the proposed amendments contained limitations not taught or suggested by the Jaszewski and Chui references. Specifically, Jaszewski does not teach or suggest the feature of separately identifying upstream and downstream channels used by the wireless access points and Chui does not teach or suggest allowing some cable modems of a group using a first downstream channel to switch to a second downstream channel while other cable modems of the group continue to use the first downstream channel. Additionally, it was agreed that Enns does not appear to teach or suggest allowing some cable modems of a group using a first downstream channel to switch to a second downstream channel while other cable modems of the group continue to use the first downstream channel.